

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/699,834	Applicant(s) ANSPACH, STEVE	
	Examiner Samson B. Lemma	Art Unit 2432	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Samson B. Lemma. (3) ____.

(2) William H. Bollman, Registration No. 36,457. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 28,39 and 51.

Identification of prior art discussed: art on the record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: On December 15, 2008 Examiner and Applicant's representative William H. Bollman, Registration No. 36,457 conducted a telephone interview and discussed the independent claims in view of the prior art on the record. As the result of the interview both parties have agreed to make the following Examiner's amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Samson B Lemma/ Examiner, Art Unit 2432	
---	--